1 2 3 4 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON 9 AT TACOMA 10 STEPHANIE WILSON, Case No. 11 12 Plaintiff, **COMPLAINT FOR VIOLATION** 13 **FEDERAL FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT** VS. 14 15 THE CBE GROUP SW, INC., 16 Defendant. 17 18 NATURE OF ACTION 19 20 This is an action brought under the Fair Debt Collection Practices 1. 21 Act ("FDCPA"), 15 U.S.C. § 1692 et seq., and the Telephone Consumer 22 Protection Act ("TCPA"), 47 U.S.C. § 227. 23 24 JURISDICTION AND VENUE 25 2. This Court has jurisdiction under 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(d), 47 U.S.C. § 26 27 227(b)(3), 28 U.S.C. § 1331, and 28 U.S.C. § 1367(a). COMPLAINT FOR VIOLATIONS OF THE FAIR 28 DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT-1 WEISBERG & MEYERS, LLC 3877 N. Deer Lake Rd. Loon Lake ,WA 99148 509-232-1882 866-565-1327 facsimile

jrobbins@AttorneysForConsumers.com

12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19

20

2122

23

24

2526

27

COMPLAINT FOR VIOLATIONS OF THE FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT-2

3. Venue is proper before this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1391(b), where the acts and transactions giving rise to Plaintiff's action occurred in this State and this district, where Plaintiff resides in this State and this district, and where Defendant transacts business in this State and this district.

PARTIES

- 4. Plaintiff, Stephanie Wilson ("Plaintiff"), is a natural person who at all relevant times resided in the State of Washington, County of Clallam, and City of Port Angeles.
 - 5. Plaintiff is a "consumer" as defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(3).
- 6. Defendant, The CBE Group SW, Inc. ("Defendant") is an entity who at all relevant times was engaged, by use of the mails and telephone, in the business of attempting to collect a "debt" from Plaintiff, as defined by 15 U.S.C. §1692a(5).
 - 7. Defendant is a "debt collector" as defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6).

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 8. Plaintiff is a natural person obligated, or allegedly obligated, to pay a debt owed or due, or asserted to be owed or due a creditor other than Defendant.
- 9. Plaintiff's obligation, or alleged obligation, owed or due, or asserted to be owed or due a creditor other than Defendant, arises from a transaction in

COMPLAINT FOR VIOLATIONS OF THE FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT-3

which the money, property, insurance, or services that are the subject of the transaction were incurred primarily for personal, family, or household purposes.

- 10. Defendant uses instrumentalities of interstate commerce or the mails in a business the principal purpose of which is the collection of any debts, and/or regularly collects or attempts to collect, directly or indirectly, debts owed or due, or asserted to be owed or due another.
- 11. Within one (1) year preceding the date of this Complaint, Defendant made and/or placed a telephone call to Plaintiff's cellular telephone number, in effort to collect from Plaintiff an obligation, or alleged obligation, owed or due, or asserted to be owed or due a creditor other than Defendant.
- 12. Within one (1) year preceding the date of this Complaint, Defendant willfully and knowingly utilized an automatic telephone dialing system to make and/or place a telephone call to Plaintiff's cellular telephone number, in effort to collect from Plaintiff an obligation, or alleged obligation, owed or due, or asserted to be owed or due a creditor other than Defendant.
- 13. Defendant contacted Plaintiff's neighbor via telephone, and left a voicemail message on the neighbor's home answering machine in which Defendant disclosed its identity as The CBE Group without first being requested to do so by the third party.

COMPLAINT FOR VIOLATIONS OF THE FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT-4

- 14. Upon being asked why her neighbor was called, Defendant, via its agent and/or employee (whom Plaintiff believes is named "Mary") told Plaintiff that since Plaintiff was not answering Plaintiff's telephone, Defendant could "call anyone [they] wanted" and that Defendant would do "anything in [their] means" to collect the debt, actions prohibited by the FDCPA and evidencing Defendant's intent to violate the FDCPA. (15 U.S.C. §§ 1692b(1), 1692c(b), 1692e(5)).
- 15. Defendant placed repeated and continuous telephone calls to Plaintiff's 13 year-old daughter's cellular phone (owned by Plaintiff and on Plaintiff's account) with prior knowledge that the number was used exclusively by a minor and not by Plaintiff. (15 U.S.C. §§ 1692b(3), 1692c(b), 1692d(5)).
- 16. Defendant, via its agent and/or employee (Plaintiff believes the name is "Mary"), represented to Plaintiff that it was "illegal" for Plaintiff to not pay her bills, with the intent of disgracing Plaintiff. (15 U.S.C. §§ 1692e(7), 1692e(10)).
- 17. Defendant, within the initial thirty (30) day dispute period, threatened Plaintiff, with litigation, garnishment and the placement of a lien against Plaintiff's vehicle, actions Defendant did not intend to take, and was not legally able to take. (15 U.S.C. §§ 1692e(5), 1692g(b)).
- 18. Defendant after having received written notice from Plaintiff dated February 22, 2010 in which Plaintiff revoked any express permission to contact

her on her cellular phone, placed numerous non-emergency calls to Plaintiff's cellular telephone, without the prior express consent of Plaintiff, using an automatic telephone dialing system. (47 U.S.C. 227(b)(1)(A)(iii)).

19. Defendant's actions constitute conduct highly offensive to a reasonable person, and as a result of Defendant's behavior Plaintiff suffered and continues to suffer injury to Plaintiff's feelings, personal humiliation, embarrassment, mental aguish and/or emotional distress.

COUNT I

- 20. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges each and every allegation contained above.
 - 21. Defendant violated the FDCPA as detailed above.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for relief and judgment, as follows:

- a) Adjudging that Defendant violated the FDCPA;
- b) Awarding Plaintiff statutory damages, pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §1692k, in the amount of \$1,000.00;
- c) Awarding Plaintiff actual damages, pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §1692k;
- d) Awarding Plaintiff reasonable attorneys' fees ands costs incurred in this action;

- e) Awarding Plaintiff any pre-judgment and post-judgment interest as may be allowed under the law;
- f) Awarding such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

COUNT II

- 22. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges each and every allegation contained above.
- 23. Defendant violated 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1)(A)(iii) by willfully and knowingly utilizing an automatic telephone dialing system to make and/or place a telephone call to Plaintiff's cellular telephone number.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for relief and judgment, as follows:

- a) Adjudging that Defendant violated 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1)(A)(iii);
- b) Awarding Plaintiff statutory damages, pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(B), in the amount of \$500.00 per violation;
- c) Awarding Plaintiff statutory damages, pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(C), in the amount of \$1,500.00 per violation;
- d) Awarding Plaintiff actual damages, pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(B);

- e) Awarding Plaintiff reasonable attorneys' fees ands costs incurred in this action;
- f) Awarding Plaintiff any pre-judgment and post-judgment interest as may be allowed under the law.

TRIAL BY JURY

Plaintiff is entitled to and hereby demands a trial by jury.

Respectfully submitted this 8th day of September, 2010.

s/Jon N. RobbinsJon N. RobbinsWEISBERG & MEYERS, LLCAttorney for Plaintiff